JUN 1 1 2005 W

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: BEER =4

In re Application of:) Conf. No.: 2713

Illan BEER et al

) Art Unit: 2124 Appln. No.: 10/045,007)

) Examiner: J. Michael Filing Date: January 15, 2002)

) Washington, D.C.) June 14, 2005

For: AUTOMATIC ABSTRACTION OF

SOFTWARE SOURCE

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

Honorable Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

In the Office Action of March 15, 2005, the examiner has required information under 37 CFR 1.105 regarding citation AA of applicants' IDS filed March 20, 2002. The PTO has set a shortened term of reply for this requirement of two months. Accordingly, a petition for one month's extension of time and late fee are attached hereto.

At page 3, of the Office Action, the examiner states that the "fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 are waived for those documents submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 that

are included in the applicant's first complete communication 06/15/2005 SZEWDIE1 00000137 10045007

120.00 DP

01 FC:1251

responding to this requirement." If any fee is required, the PTO is hereby authorized and requested to charge any such additional fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 02-4035.

Upon investigating this matter, Applicant has determined that the reference submitted with the IDS of March 20, 2005, was actually a copy of U.S. Provisional Patent Application 60/261,539, from which the present application claims priority. Accordingly, as the clerical error resulted in the listing of the provisional application of the present application, applicants respectfully request that the designation of reference AA as a "reference" be removed from the record.

Attached hereto is the correct citation of Eisner entitled "Model Checking the Garbage Collection Mechanism of SMV," and a clean SB08/A. Applicant respectfully requests the examiner to return an initialed copy of such SB08/a indicating that the examiner has considered such publication and such publication has been made of record.

Moreover, the Eisner article is a case study,

presenting the results of an application of the methods of
present invention. Since the case study was carried out by
Eisner, only she was listed as an author. As noted in the
acknowledgements section of the paper on page 10, however,
Beer was responsible for the observation that led to the

In re Appln. No. 10/045,007

development of the present invention. The Eisner publication is not "prior art".

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By:

Sheridan Neimakk

Registration No. 20,520

:jaa

G:\BN\C\colb\Beer4\PTO\IDS 14je05.doc 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20001-5303 Telephone: (202)628-5197 Facsimile: (202)737-3528



1Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet 1 of 1

| Complete if Known | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Application Number | 10/045,007 | | |
| Filing Date | January 15, 2002 | | |
| First Named Inventor | Ilan BEER et al | | |
| Group Art Unit | 2124 | | |
| Examiner Name | J. Mitchell | | |
| Attorney Docket Number | BEER =4 | | |

| OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|----|--|
| Examiner Initials* | Cite No. ¹ | Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published | T² | |
| | AM | EISNER, Cindy, "Model Checking the Garbage Collection Mechanism of SMV", Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science. (2001) 55(3):1-15. <url: entcs="" http:="" locate="" volume55.html="" www.elserver.nl="">.</url:> | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | - | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · | | | |
| Examir Signati | | Date Considered | | |

* EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.